

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/037,673	LOSHAK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erma Cameron	1762	

All Participants:

(1) Erma Cameron.

(2) James Brueggemann.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant is willing to cancel claims that they had unofficially nonelected, and designated as "withdrawn" in the 2/18/2004 preliminary amendment. In addition, a typo will be corrected in Claim 19. These items will be done by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)